

Assessment of Arrests Resulting in a Release Without Charge (RWOC)
*(Referred to as “BPD Analysis of State’s Attorney’s Office Arrest Data” in the Updated Fourth
Year Monitoring Plan)*
Baltimore Police Department
1st Quarter, 2021

Overview

The Baltimore Police Department (BPD) is dedicated to upholding the Constitution and enforcing laws in a fair, impartial, and ethical manner. As part of this commitment, BPD conducts a quarterly analysis of arrests that resulted in suspects being released without charge (RWOC) by the State’s Attorney’s Office (SAO). In this analysis, BPD assesses the quality of those arrests, takes necessary corrective actions, and identifies opportunities to improve departmental performance.

If an arrest lacks Probable Cause (PC), it will be included in the list of RWOC dispositions. However, RWOC incidents also include all arrests in which the SAO has declined to prosecute. The SAO may decline to prosecute for many reasons, including prosecutorial discretion or if the SAO determined it was unable to prove the criminal charge(s) beyond a reasonable doubt, even if PC existed. In turn, this review encompasses an array of arrests declined for a variety of reasons.

This report is the fourth of continuous quarterly assessments on this topic. The review period is after the completion of agency-wide training on stops, searches and arrests (SSA) and the implementation of new and improved policy mandates that directly address many of the deficiencies identified in previous reports.

This report details the following elements of this review:

1. Methodology for this analysis;
2. Statistical analysis of RWOCs and any associated trends;
3. Case review findings regarding RWOCs demonstrating deficiencies; and
4. Recommendations to address the findings of this report.

Methodology

To conduct this assessment, BPD obtains a list of arrests from the SAO that concluded with releasing the suspect without charges. Upon receipt of the list of RWOC arrests, the Inspections Unit separates RWOC arrests which the SAO categorized as “Prosecutorial Discretion,” because these may represent policy decisions by the SAO rather than legal issues with the arrest.¹ To ensure that there were no legal or BPD policy issues, BPD randomly reviews 10% of these arrests per

¹ For example, SAO may opt not to prosecute certain crimes due to policy decisions made about misdemeanor Marijuana Possession and other crimes during the Covid-19 pandemic.

month.² Within the 10%, arrests for “lesser offenses”³ are prioritized. Additionally, BPD reviews all RWOC arrests that the SAO categorized for a reason other than prosecutorial discretion. Every case review will include an analysis of reports associated with the arrest and BWC footage, if applicable, to determine if the arrest was made in accordance with appropriate legal standards. In order to assess patterns in arrest practices, demographics of the subjects and officers will be added to the SAO’s RWOC list, as well as shifts, units and/or the correct districts or assignments of the arresting officer.⁴

BPD will focus its review on PC determinations and other important legal standards that may lead to developing PC for arrests. Following this review, BPD will take any appropriate action, which may include training or other corrective action for the involved officer(s) and/or referring incident(s) to the Public Integrity Bureau for administrative or criminal investigation.

Statistical Analysis

In the first quarter of 2021 (Q1), the Maryland Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services (DPSCS) reported 2747 adult arrests by the BPD.⁵ The SAO determined a total of 64 arrests⁶ as RWOCs during this time period, down 40% from 107 the previous quarter and down 60% from 161 RWOCs in the first completed quarterly RWOC assessment from Q2 2020. 64 RWOCs represent 2.3% of the total BPD adult arrests during this time period. This is down from 3.4% in Q4 2020. The SAO categorized the 64 RWOCs for Q1 as follows in Table 1:

| SAO Reason for RWOC | Count | % of Total |
|--|-----------|------------|
| 4th Amendment Violation | 0 | 0% |
| Elements of the crime not readily provable | 7 | 11% |
| Nexus Issue | 0 | 0% |
| Prosecutorial Discretion | 57 | 89% |
| Total | 64 | |

Table 1. SAO Reasons for RWOCs in 2021 Q1

² The methodology for review of “prosecutorial discretion” arrests was updated prior to the initiation of the Q3 2020 RWOC review. The Q2 2020 report contained methodology that reviewed 50% of “prosecutorial discretion” RWOCs.

³ “Lesser Offenses” include crimes such as disorderly conduct, trespassing, or obstructing/hindering.

⁴ A redacted version of the SAO’s RWOC spreadsheet will be released along with this report.

⁵ Juvenile arrests in Baltimore are processed by a separate system, and the SAO does not generate a list of juveniles released without charges.

⁶ There were an additional 4 RWOCs from non-BPD police agencies, and one case categorized as RWOC in error.

The number of RWOCs compared to total BPD arrests is visualized in Chart 1:




Chart 1. Percentage of Total Arrests RWOC by SAO

The primary charge for the 64 RWOCs are listed in Table 2:⁷

| Charge | Count |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Narcotics Violation | 56 |
| Trespassing | 2 |
| Burglary | 5 |
| Car Theft | 1 |
| Total | 64 |

Table 2. Primary criminal charges for each RWOC in 2021 Q1

Distribution of RWOCs by Command

Table 3 below shows the distribution of Q1 RWOCs by command. There is noteworthy variance between the commands, with the Northeast and Southwest Districts each having 13 and the Eastern having none. These stats and the accompanying case review details will be provided to the relevant commands for further analysis and applicable discussion with their units regarding enforcement decisions and report writing.

| Command | # of RWOCs | % of Total |
|-----------|------------|------------|
| Southwest | 13 | 20% |
| Northeast | 13 | 20% |
| Southern | 11 | 17% |
| Central | 10 | 16% |
| Northern | 5 | 8% |
| Western | 4 | 6% |
| Southeast | 2 | 3% |

⁷ Arrestees were often charged with multiple crimes. This chart lists the most significant charge for each RWOC.

| | | |
|--------------|-----------|----|
| Northwest | 2 | 3% |
| Eastern | 0 | 0% |
| MMU | 2 | 3% |
| ACS | 1 | 2% |
| RATT | 1 | 2% |
| Total | 64 | |

Table 3. RWOC Distribution by Command in 2021 Q1, Sorted for Frequency

Distribution of RWOCs by District for Q2, Q3, Q4 of 2020, and Q1 of 2021.


Table 4, below, shows the aggregate totals for a year's worth of RWOC data from Q2, Q3, and Q4 of 2020, along with Q1 of 2021, by command:

| Command | # of RWOCs | % of Total |
|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Northeast | 127 | 28% |
| Central | 64 | 14% |
| Southern | 59 | 13% |
| Southeast | 49 | 11% |
| Southwest | 48 | 11% |
| Northern | 31 | 7% |
| Western | 30 | 7% |
| Eastern | 16 | 4% |
| Northwest | 13 | 3% |
| MMU | 7 | 2% |
| MFF | 6 | 1% |
| ACS | 2 | 0% |
| RATT | 2 | 0% |
| SWAT | 1 | 0% |
| Grand Total | 455 | |


Table 4. Q2, Q3, Q4 of 2020, and Q1 of 2021 RWOC Distribution by Command, Sorted for Frequency

Trends over the four quarters


The following graphs represent the trends over the past year of total RWOCs (Graph 1), RWOC percentage of total arrests (Graph 2), and Total BPD arrests (Graph 3).



Graph 1. Total RWOCs over past year



Graph 2. RWOC percentage of total arrests over past year



Graph 3. Total BPD arrests over past year

Distribution of RWOCs by District and Shift in Q1 2021

Table 5 below depicts a breakdown of RWOCs by district and shift for the quarter. This table includes the 59 RWOCs resulting from district personnel and does not include the five RWOC arrests by specialized units. The tour of duty for A shift is 11 p.m. to 7 a.m., B shift is 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., and C shift is 3 p.m. to 11 p.m. Districts also deploy District Action Teams (DAT) for proactive enforcement. DATs accounted for 42% of all district-related RWOCs, followed by the day shift (B-shift) who accounted for 36% of district-related RWOCs. The Southwest B platoon had the most RWOCs with 11, followed by the Northeast and Central DATs with 10 each.

| District | A | B | C | DAT | District Total |
|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| Northeast | | 1 | 2 | 10 | 13 |
| Central | | | | 10 | 10 |
| Southern ⁸ | | 5 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| Northern | 1 | 2 | 2 | | 5 |
| Southwest | 1 | 11 | 1 | | 13 |
| Southeast | | | | 2 | 2 |
| Western | 1 | 2 | 1 | | 4 |
| Eastern | | | | | 0 |
| Northwest | | | 2 | | 2 |
| Shift/Unit Total | 3 | 21 | 10 | 25 | 59 |

Table 5. Distribution of RWOCs by District and Shift in 2021 Q1.

⁸ The Southern District commands the Casino Mini District which had one RWOC in Q1.

Figure 1 visualizes this data:




Figure 1. RWOCs by District and Shift in 2021 Q1

There is variance between shifts and units, with the Southwest B-shift having 11 RWOCs and several shifts having zero, including the entire Eastern District. Further analysis of the Southwest B-shift⁹ revealed that 9 of the 11 arrests were for narcotics violations, all of which were RWOC by the SAO because of prosecutorial discretion. Only one officer made more than one arrest within these 9 cases.

The remaining two arrests were categorized as “Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable” and involved a single incident in which two arrests were made for burglary and destruction of property. BPD’s analysis found that valid PC existed for these arrests but agree that the cases would be difficult to prove beyond a reasonable doubt in court.

The Northeast DAT unit was tied for second with 10 RWOCs in Q1. While this total is far less than each of the past 3 quarters¹⁰, the total prompted further analysis as the NE DAT was the focus of previous reports and the BPD wants to ensure past recommendations are effective. After a thorough review, which is detailed in the next several paragraphs, it was determined that there were no problematic RWOC arrests in the Northeast District in Q1.

All 10 RWOCs were narcotics arrests, with 8 being arrests for narcotics distribution¹¹. The remaining two RWOCs for misdemeanor narcotics possession were arrests of subjects with criminal histories¹² to include violence and/or handgun violations. One subject was on active probation for a handgun violation at the time of the arrest, and the other subject had a criminal history to include robbery and assaults. Of the remaining subjects arrested for narcotics distribution, all but one subject had criminal histories containing arrests for a shooting, robberies, handgun violations, and/or assaults. One subject arrested for selling marijuana had no adult criminal history in Maryland.

⁹ Patrol shifts B and C, including the Southwest District, alternate personnel every 28 days.

¹⁰ The NE DAT had 26 RWOCs in Q2 2020, 40 RWOCs in Q3 2020, and 25 RWOCs in Q4 2020.

¹¹ Distribution of narcotics is a felony in Maryland.

¹² Criminal Histories are based on Maryland arrest records.

The Northeast DAT units affected the 10 RWOC arrests in 3 different areas, all of which have high instances of violence or gun-related crime and are predetermined locations designated as “Micro zones” in BPD’s Crime Reduction Strategy:¹³

- 8 arrests in Belair Edison Zone (NE DAT): 3200 Belair (4), 4300 Belair (2), 4100 Eierman (2)
- 1 arrest at Cedonia & Radecke Zone (NE 44A): 5910 Radecke Ave.
- 1 arrest at Belair/Frankford to Belair/Glenmore Zone (NE 42F, 44E): 5100 Belair Road

The NE District Command outlined their DAT team strategy, which is summarized in the “Updates on Recommendations from Previous Reports” section of this report (Page 11). Although the strategy described 2020 efforts, the same strategy continued into Q1 2021, with more oversight and supervision. The Q1 2021 RWOC arrests, like the non-RWOC arrests, appear to have been generally consistent with the BPD’s primary focus on individuals with histories of violence and gun crimes. These RWOC arrests do not suggest that NE DAT officers were engaging in law enforcement activity that was inconsistent with either BPD directives regarding arrests for lesser offenses or the SAO’s announced prosecutorial priorities.¹⁴

During Q1 of 2021, the Northeast District experienced a 28% reduction in violent crime incidents, compared to the same period of time in 2020. Most notably, homicides reduced 64%, non-fatal shootings reduced 40%, and robberies reduced 55%¹⁵. Significant reductions were also found in comparison to the five-year Q1 crime trends in the Northeast District. Although an empirical link between the Northeast District’s strategy and the dip in violent crime in Q1 2021 has not been established, it is helpful to see that more restrained, more targeted, more closely supervised law enforcement action may result in fewer RWOC arrests while also resulting in a dip—and not an increase—in violent crime.

BPD recognizes that new command in the Northeast District was established after the end of Q1 2021. The new NE command should coordinate with BPD’s newly appointed executive commanders, as well as commanders from other districts, to ensure that the NE DAT strategy is consistent with Departmental objectives, and either continue the strategy or amend it accordingly. This coordination should include representative(s) from the SAO to attempt to avoid future RWOCs of violent individuals committing felonies in the designated micro zones and reiterate to the SAO that the Northeast District, and BPD generally, is attempting to target individuals with histories of violence and gun crimes, as outlined in the BPD’s Crime Plan. The Operations Bureau should provide a summary of actions taken, as stated in the Recommendations section of this report.

¹³https://www.baltimorepolice.org/sites/default/files/General%20Website%20PDFs/BPD_Crime_Reduction_Plan.pdf

¹⁴ <https://www.stattony.org/media-center/press-releases/2243-state-s-attorney-mayor-s-office-and-community-partners-announce-success-of-covid-criminal-justice-policies>

¹⁵ <https://data.baltimorecity.gov/documents/police-department-baltimore-maryland-incident-report-3-31-2021/explore> NOTE: Data in this report concludes 3/27/2021 so, although similar, it does not mirror data from the full quarter.

Figure 2, below, visualizes this cumulative RWOC trends for the past four quarters:




Figure 2. 2020 Q2, Q3, Q4, and 2021 Q1 RWOCs by District and Shift

RWOCs account for 2.3% of total arrests, and BPD’s Operations Bureau should use this report and supporting data to determine why some commands may be producing inappropriate arrests or pursuing crimes the SAO will not prosecute. The Operations Bureau should also attempt to identify other potential areas of operational improvement and effective resource deployment. The Operations Bureau should provide a summary of actions taken, as stated in the Recommendations section of this report.

RWOCs Analyzed

In total, BPD reviewed 13 RWOCs for Q1, including all 7 RWOCs for reasons other than Prosecutorial Discretion, as well as 7 Prosecutorial Discretion cases (~10% of the total of 57).¹⁶ The results of the review are detailed below.

RWOCs Indicating a Lack of PC/RAS or Poor Reporting

In order for an arrest to be legal, there needs to be at least Reasonable Articulate Suspicion (RAS) for the stop and Probable Cause (PC) for the arrest. BPD determined that all 14 reviewed cases had valid PC for arrest and satisfactorily written reports. Table 6 below represents the findings:

| Review Result | Count | % of Total |
|---------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| Lacked PC for Arrest | 0 | 0% |
| Valid PC but Poor Reporting | 0 | 0% |
| Valid PC and Adequate Reporting | 14 | 100% |
| Total | 14 | |

Table 6. Results of RWOC Review in 2021 Q1

¹⁶ 7 cases is slightly more than 10% of 57 because the Inspection Unit selected cases as monthly reports arrived from the SAO throughout the quarter and rounded up each time, in addition to the “lesser offenses.”

Distribution of Problematic RWOCs by Original SAO Reason for RWOC

BPD initiates this review process with a list of RWOCs including a reason for the RWOC from the SAO. BPD then analyzes the RWOCs and provides a conclusion as to whether probable cause was established. The assessment process yielded no problematic RWOCs in Q1 of 2021. Table 7 shows BPD’s conclusions on problematic RWOCs on the left and the original reason for the RWOC from the SAO on the right in aggregate across Q2, Q3, Q4 of 2020, and Q1 of 2021:

| BPD Review Result | SAO Reason | Count |
|----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Lacked PC / RAS for Arrest (10) | 4th Amendment Violation | 3 |
| | Elements of crime not readily provable | 5 |
| | Prosecutorial Discretion | 2 |
| Valid PC but Poor Reporting (11) | Elements of crime not readily provable | 7 |
| | Prosecutorial Discretion | 4 |
| Total | | 21 |

Table 7. 2020 Q2, Q3, Q4, and 2021 Q1 Distribution of Problematic RWOCs by Original SAO Reason

Table 8 summarizes the aggregate distribution of problematic RWOCs across Q2, Q3, Q4 of 2020, and Q1 of 2021:

| Command | Lacked PC for Arrest | PC but Poor Reporting | Total |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Southeast | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Central | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Northeast | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Northern | 2 | | 2 |
| Education and Training | | 1 | 1 |
| Eastern | 1 | | 1 |
| Southern | | 2 | 2 |
| Southwest | | 1 | 1 |
| Western | | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 10 | 11 | 21 |

Table 8. 2020 Q2, Q3, Q4 and 2021 Q1 Distribution of Problematic RWOCs by District

Distribution of RWOCs by Officer

While there were no patterns in the problematic RWOCs for officers, 15 officers did have multiple RWOCs during the Q1 assessment period. This total is down 37% from 24 officers with

multiple RWOCs in Q4 of 2020, and is the lowest number of officers with multiple RWOCs since the quarterly assessments began in Q2 of 2020.

District commands should review and discuss these cases with the relevant officers regarding any improvements they can make regarding enforcement decisions.

Recommendations¹⁷

Operations Bureau:

1. Operations Bureau command should use this report and supporting data to determine why some commands, specifically the Northeast and Central DAT teams and the Southwest District patrol swing shift, may be focusing on crimes the SAO will not prosecute. This process should include representatives from the SAO. The Operations Bureau should also attempt to identify other potential areas of operational improvement.
2. All commands, to include the Northeast District, should coordinate with BPD's newly appointed executive commanders to discuss and confirm enforcement strategies that properly target individuals with known histories of violence or gun crime and thus should reduce RWOCs (among other benefits). This coordination should include representatives from the SAO to attempt to avoid future RWOCs of violent individuals committing felonies in the designated micro zones and elsewhere. The coordination should (1) make sure executive command understands and agrees with district strategies, and (2) make sure that the SAO understands that individuals with histories of violence and gun crimes are being targeted according to the Department's Crime Plan.
3. The Operations Bureau should provide a summary of actions taken, if any, within 60 days of the date of this finalized report and submit the summary to the Compliance Bureau.

¹⁷ The Compliance Bureau will monitor the progress of approved recommendations through a "Recommendation Tracker" mechanism which will provide due dates to the responsible BPD entity along with mandates of written documentation certifying completion.

Updates on Recommendations from Previous Reports

This section provides a summary of actions taken by the BPD in response to the recommendations in earlier reports. It specifically addresses the recommendations outlined in the Q3 2020 report.¹⁸ The next published quarterly RWOC report is expected to cover Q4 2020¹⁹ recommendations, since its recommendations have deadlines that have not yet passed.

A copy of the Q3 report was distributed amongst all command members. BPD's Operations Bureau took steps to ensure that officers have appropriate guidance in regards to cases which the SAO will decline to prosecute. During the COVID-19 pandemic, a memorandum was distributed in regards to modified enforcement procedures. This memorandum was updated in April of 2021, outlining the protocol when affecting arrests for certain crimes.

The Northeast District reviewed the operational priorities of their DAT unit to determine reasons why they led the BPD in total RWOCs, and why DAT personnel have appeared in two RWOC reports as involved in problematic RWOCs. Northeast command began to require all CDS violation reports be provided to command daily. Northeast commanders reviewed the reports and immediately addressed any deficiencies. This provided a greater level of situational awareness for Northeast District commanders and a higher level of oversight for DAT unit operations.

The second and more important step was to identify a sergeant to supervise the squad that had a vacant sergeant position since July 2020 due to a transfer. The vacancy existed during Q3 and Q4 of 2020. The Northeast District Command reported that, because of the transfer, the DAT unit identified in the Q3 2020 RWOC Assessment did not have an immediate supervisor. The DAT sergeant position was announced Citywide, and there were two candidates interviewed, one sergeant from the Western District and an internal candidate assigned to Northeast Patrol. A specific sergeant was selected to fill the role on February 14, 2021.²⁰ Both Northeast DAT squads have assigned sergeants at the time of this report.

The Northeast Command further reported that three officers who had RWOCs in Q2 and Q3 2020 are no longer assigned to DAT. Two officers were assigned to rotating patrol shifts, and the third resigned from the agency to join another department.

The Northeast reported that the DAT unit was responsible for investigating individuals committing violent crimes and for investigating drug complaints received from residents, including a significant amount of marijuana complaints. The Northeast District has a large number of vocal community groups who are concerned about open-air drug activity in their respective neighborhoods. Often, investigating violent individuals went hand-in-hand with investigating illegal narcotics activity. The priority was to target enforcement efforts on violent individuals. One mechanism to remove an offender from the community was through narcotics enforcement. The RWOC arrests in Q1 and in prior reporting periods reflected the Northeast District's (and

¹⁸ The Q3 report was filed March 4, 2021.

¹⁹ The Q4 report was filed April 19, 2021 with the earliest deadline being June 18, 2021.

²⁰ This new sergeant experienced a medical absence resulting in the DAT squad reporting to a Lieutenant during Q1.

especially the DAT's) responsiveness to community complaints about particular individuals who were dealing drugs and the District's experience that those involved in dealing drugs were often involved in violent crime (a BPD enforcement priority).

The Public Integrity Bureau (PIB) received the Q3 RWOC report and the specific information about the problematic RWOC arrests by three officers that lacked PC. PIB has taken appropriate actions according to their internal protocol, including opening formal investigations.

The recommended updates to Policy 1112, *Field Interviews, Investigative Stops, Weapons Pat-Downs & Searches*, have been acknowledged by the Policy Unit and will be considered when the policy comes up for annual policy review through the consent decree process. Furthermore, the Policy Unit searched other applicable policies that may cover the topic of evidence handling and the release of subjects who are arrested based on flawed PC and confirmed that the most beneficial location should be Policy 1112.

Prior to the end of December 2020, the Education and Training Section completed SSA training for sworn BPD members, in accordance with updated BPD policy. This training included a two-day in-person course in addition to mandatory eLearning. Additionally, the updated SSA policies were fully implemented on February 9, 2021. Scheduling and delivery of remedial training for six officers, based on either an arrest that lacked probable cause or a report inadequately documenting probable cause or both, is ongoing.

COMSTAT was briefed about the Q3 RWOC report on March 18, 2021. The briefing highlighted the main data-points, problematic arrests, and the resulting actions taken.

Appendix A

See attached spreadsheet detailing the case review findings.

| Date | Time | Arrestee Gender | Arrestee Race | Primary Charge | SAO Reason for RWOC | Officer Gender | Officer Race | Unit | Shift | Unit/Shift | District of Occurrence | District/Division | BPD Findings | Analyzed? |
|-----------|------|-----------------|---------------|---|---|----------------|--------------|----------|-------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1/2/2021 | 1018 | M | W | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 1/2/2021 | 1121 | M | W | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 1/2/2021 | 1121 | M | W | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 1/4/2021 | 1256 | M | B | Distribution of Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/4/2021 | 1338 | M | B | Burglary 4, Malicious Destruction | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | B | Patrol B | B | | Southwest | Southwest | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/4/2021 | 1338 | M | B | Burglary 4, Malicious Destruction | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | B | Patrol B | B | | Southwest | Southwest | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/5/2021 | 0956 | M | B | HGV | Can't Prove (REMOVE: charged via warrant) | M | B | Patrol B | B | | Northern | Northern | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/6/2021 | 2330 | M | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | | Central | Central | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/9/2021 | 2050 | M | B | Distribution CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/9/2021 | 1600 | M | W | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 1/11/2021 | 0036 | M | B | Trespassing | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Casino A | | | Southern | Southern | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/11/2021 | 1040 | F | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/11/2021 | 1435 | M | B | Attempted Distribution of Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/13/2021 | 1930 | M | B | Distribution CDS & Resisting Arrest | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | | Southern | Southern | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/14/2021 | 1420 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | F | W | MMU B | | | Western | MMU | | Not analyzed |
| 1/15/2021 | 1712 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol C | C | | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 1/18/2021 | 1430 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | | Northeast | Northeast | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/18/2021 | 0856 | M | B | Distribution CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol B | B | | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 1/19/2021 | 1115 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | ACS B | | | Western | ACS | | Not analyzed |
| 1/19/2021 | 1245 | M | B | Attempted Distribution of Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/20/2021 | 1930 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | MMU C | | | Western | MMU | | Not analyzed |
| 1/20/2021 | 1618 | M | B | Attempted Distribution of Marijuana & Possession of CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/21/2021 | 1104 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | Patrol B | B | | Western | Western | | Not analyzed |

| Date | Time | Arrestee Gender | Arrestee Race | Primary Charge | SAD Reason for RWOC | Officer Gender | Officer Race | Unit | Shift | Unit/Shift | District of Occurrence | District/Division | BFO Findings | Analyzed? |
|-----------|------|-----------------|---------------|---|---|----------------|--------------|----------|-------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 1/22/2021 | 0123 | M | B | PWID CDS | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | W | Patrol A | A | Patrol A | Northern | Northern | Valid PC | Yes |
| 1/22/2021 | 0956 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Western | Western | | Not analyzed |
| 1/23/2021 | 1727 | M | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | F | B | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 1/26/2021 | 0915 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 1/26/2021 | 1716 | M | B | Attempted Distribution of Marijuana, Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 1/28/2021 | 1938 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 1/28/2021 | 1140 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | F | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Northern | Northern | | Not analyzed |
| 2/2/2021 | 1222 | M | B | Distribution of a Prescription | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 2/2/2021 | 1336 | M | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Southern | Southern | Valid PC | Yes |
| 2/3/2021 | 1320 | M | B | Distribution of a Prescription | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 2/7/2021 | 813 | M | B | Possession Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 2/9/2021 | 1013 | M | B | PWID Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 2/9/2021 | 1013 | M | B | PWID CDS, PWID Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | F | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 2/10/2021 | 338 | M | B | 4th Degree Burglary | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | A | Patrol A | A | Patrol A | Western | Western | Valid PC | Yes |
| 2/10/2021 | 1623 | M | B | Attempted Distribution of Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Northeast | Northeast | Valid PC | Yes |
| 2/11/2021 | 1828 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 2/13/2021 | 734 | M | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 2/13/2021 | 1140 | M | B | Attempted Distribution of Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 2/16/2021 | 928 | M | B | Distribution CDS, PWID Marij. | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 2/17/2021 | 1318 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 2/21/2021 | 1706 | F | B | Distribution Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Northern | Northern | Valid PC | Yes |
| 2/23/2021 | 1812 | M | B | Distribution Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Northern | Northern | | Not analyzed |
| 2/26/2021 | 1730 | M | B | Distribution Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Northeast | Northeast | | Not analyzed |
| 3/1/2021 | 1550 | M | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |

| Date | Time | Arrestee Gender | Arrestee Race | Primary Charge | SAO Reason for RWOC | Officer Gender | Officer Race | Unit | Shift | Unit/Shift | District of Occurrence | District/Division | BPD Findings | Analyzed? |
|-----------|------|-----------------|---------------|--|---|----------------|--------------|----------|-------|------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| 3/1/2021 | 856 | F | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | F | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Northern | Northern | | Not analyzed |
| 3/3/2021 | 1740 | F | B | Burglary 4, Malicious Destruction | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | W | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Northwest | Northwest | Valid PC | Yes |
| 3/6/2021 | 1805 | M | W | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | A | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 3/8/2021 | 2118 | M | B | PWID CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | Dat C | Dat | Dat C | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 3/10/2021 | 2205 | M | B | Trespassing | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Northwest | Northwest | Valid PC | Yes |
| 3/12/2021 | 36 | M | W | Attempted B&E, Urinating | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol A | A | Patrol A | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 3/16/2021 | 1316 | M | B | Distribution Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 3/18/2021 | 1914 | M | B | Distribution CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 3/20/2021 | 1330 | M | B | PWID Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 3/20/2021 | 1627 | M | B | PWID CDS | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | B | Patrol C | C | Patrol C | Western | Western | Valid PC | Yes |
| 3/22/2021 | 1945 | M | B | Car Theft, UU & Theft of Tags | Elements of the Crime Not Readily Provable. | M | W | RATT C | C | RATT C | Northwest | BATT | Valid PC | Yes |
| 3/23/2021 | 1225 | M | B | Distribution CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Southeast | Southeast | | Not analyzed |
| 3/24/2021 | 1147 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 3/26/2021 | 1156 | M | B | Pharmacy w/o a license, Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |
| 3/26/2021 | 815 | M | B | Possession CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | B | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southwest | Southwest | | Not analyzed |
| 3/29/2021 | 1500 | M | B | PWID Marijuana | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | DAT C | DAT | DAT C | Southeast | Southeast | | Not analyzed |
| 3/30/2021 | 826 | M | B | Distribution CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | W | Patrol B | B | Patrol B | Southern | Southern | | Not analyzed |
| 3/31/2021 | 1020 | F | B | Distribution CDS | Prosecutorial Discretion | M | H | DAT B | DAT | DAT B | Central | Central | | Not analyzed |