




Survey

During the 2nd phase of public feedback on redistricting, 590 surveys were collected. Table 1. shows the number and percent of surveys received from each district. It's based on the survey question "Which police district do you live in?". Comparably more surveys are received from residents in the NED and ND than the remaining police districts, accounting for 50% of the total survey responses.

Table 1. Number of surveys by Residing District

District	Count	%
Central District	52	9%
Southeastern District	61	10%
Eastern District	17	3%
Northeastern District	145	25%
Northern District	147	25%
Northwestern District	43	7%
Western District	9	2%
Southwestern District	37	6%
Southern District	63	11%
I do not live in Baltimore City	16	3%
Grand Total	590	100%



For 16 respondents who do not live but work or own a business in Baltimore City, the distribution is as follows:

District	Count	%
Central District	4	25%
Eastern District	1	6%
Northern District	3	19%
Southeastern District	2	13%
Southwestern District	4	25%
Western District	2	13%
Grand Total	16	100%

The data collected from residing neighborhood column has been cleaned and consolidated for analysis. If the respondents only provided a street name or intersection on where they live on the survey, the neighborhood was located in ArcGIS and replaced in the data. Excluding 84 surveys in which the neighborhood is blank or cannot be identified, results show that the remaining 506 surveys covered respondents representing 132 out of 278 (47%) neighborhoods in Baltimore City, although 49 neighborhoods only have 1 survey collected per neighborhood. 17 neighborhoods have more than 6 surveys completed (see Table 2). Ednor Gardens-Lakeside neighborhood alone had 52 surveys submitted, accounting for more than 10% of the total.



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Data Driven Strategies Division

Second Public Survey Analysis

Crime Analysis Unit

DDS-22-2153R



Table 2. Top neighborhoods by the number of surveys completed

Neighborhood	Count
Ednor Gardens-Lakeside	52
Coldstream Homestead Montebello	17
Hampden	17
Heritage Crossing	17
Inner Harbor	16
Belair-Edison	15
Fells Point	12
Canton	11
Hamilton Hills	9
Mayfield	9
Waverly	9
Woodbourne-McCabe	9
Cross Country	8
Butcher's Hill	7
Cheswolde	7
Federal Hill	7
Radnor-Winston	7

The distribution of the number of surveys collected by the number of neighborhoods can be found in Table 3. For example, 49 neighborhoods have one survey completed per neighborhood; 20 neighborhoods have 2 surveys completed per neighborhood; and 18 neighborhoods have 3 surveys completed per neighborhood.

Table 3. Distribution of Survey by Neighborhood

# of Survey	# of Neighborhood
1	49
2	20
3	18
4	14
5	6
6	8
7	4
8	1
9	4
11	1
12	1
15	1
16	1
17	3
52	1
Grand Total	132



Demographic Information

Table 4 and 5 show the age and gender distribution for survey respondents.

Table 4. Age of Survey Respondents

Age Group	Count
18-24	8
25-34	89
35-44	129
45-54	115
55-64	96
65 and older	128
I prefer not to answer	21
Under 18	2
(blank)	2
Grand Total	590

Table 5. Gender of Survey Respondents

Gender	Count
Female	312
Male	231
I prefer not to answer	34
Non-binary	3
(blank)	9
Committee of community members	1
Grand Total	590

Two separate questions (Q4 and Q5) on the survey asked which factor (the respondents believe) was the MOST/LEAST important in how the district borders were changed. Choices provided were Workload, Part I Crime, Violent Crime, Property Crime, Population and Area. 26 respondents chose the same factor as the MOST and LEAST important. These 26 responses were excluded because the same factor cannot be the MOST and LEAST important at the same time.

Among the remaining 564 respondents, 35% of respondents believe that Workload is the MOST important factor in determining district boundaries, and 32% of respondents believe that Part I Crime is the MOST important factor.

It is worth noting that the correlation analysis completed for Redistricting Project shows that Workload is highly and positively correlated with Part I crime in a neighborhood with a correlation coefficient of 0.96 ($p < 0.01$). A neighborhood with more Part I crimes almost certainly have a higher Workload. Workload is also highly and positively correlated with Population (based on 2020 census data), Violent Crime, and Property Crime with a correlation coefficient of 0.74 ($p < 0.01$), 0.97 ($p < 0.01$), and 0.92 ($p < 0.01$) respectively.



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT



Data Driven Strategies Division

Crime Analysis Unit

Second Public Survey Analysis

DDS-22-2153R

MOST Important Factor	Count	%
Workload	195	35%
Part 1 Crime	182	32%
Population	66	12%
Area (Square Miles)	56	10%
Violent Crime	55	10%
Property Crime	10	2%
Grand Total	564	100%

52% of respondents believe that Area (Square Miles) is the LEAST important factor. None of the respondents believes that Population is the LEAST important factor.

LEAST Important Factor	Count	%
Area (Square Miles)	292	52%
Part 1 Crime	79	14%
Property Crime	70	12%
Violent Crime	20	4%
Workload	103	18%
Population	0	0%
Grand Total	564	100%

Question 6 (Q6) asked what additional factors should have been included in the analysis but were not. Besides sentiments towards policing and crime, opposition to certain boundary, preference for certain district, and factors already listed in Q4 and Q5, respondents proposed the following factors:

- 1) Community collaborations
- 2) Relationship between community and police
- 3) Available police staffing
- 4) Police travel/response time
- 5) Citizen/Community Input
- 6) Race/ethnicity divisions/compositions
- 7) Impact on property value
- 8) Tax, income and poverty level
- 9) City Council District boundary
- 10) Conviction rates
- 11) Age, tenure and education level of district residents
- 12) Diversity in the district
- 13) Vacant housing
- 14) Schools, businesses, stadiums, parks, and entertainment and tourist areas
- 15) The need for more districts
- 16) Elderly and senior community
- 17) Efficiency to solve crimes



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Data Driven Strategies Division

Second Public Survey Analysis

Crime Analysis Unit

DDS-22-2153R




In response to Question 7 (Q7) “Do you believe these changes will improve police services citywide?”, 146 (25%) respondents answered “Yes”, compared to 415 (70%) respondents who answered “No”. 29 (5%) respondents didn’t provide an answer. Table 6. and Graph 7. below show the responses by district.

Although the majority of the respondent do not believe the changes will improve police service citywide, Northwestern and Central district had the largest divide between Yes and No; whereas the Southwestern and Southern District had a relatively smaller divide.

Table 6. Do you believe these boundary change will improve police services citywide?

District	No	% No	Yes	% Yes	(blank)	Grand Total
Central District	42	81%	9	17%	1	52
Southeastern District	43	70%	17	28%	1	61
Eastern District	11	65%	5	29%	1	17
Northeastern District	107	74%	28	19%	10	145
Northern District	102	69%	34	23%	11	147
Northwestern District	35	81%	7	16%	1	43
Western District	6	67%	3	33%	0	9
Southwestern District	20	54%	14	38%	3	37
Southern District	38	60%	24	38%	1	63
I do not live in Baltimore City	11	69%	5	31%	0	16
Grand Total	415	70%	146	25%	29	590

Graph 7.





BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Data Driven Strategies Division

Second Public Survey Analysis

Crime Analysis Unit

DDS-22-2153R



For 16 respondents who do not live in Baltimore City, their responses to Q7 are as follows:

District	No	Yes	Grand Total
Central District	2	2	4
Eastern District	0	1	1
Northern District	3	0	3
Southeastern District	2	0	2
Southwestern District	3	1	4
Western District	1	1	2
Grand Total	11	5	16

In response to Question 8. “Do you believe these boundary changes will improve police services in your neighborhood?”, 108 (18%) respondents answered “Yes” compared to 462 (78%) respondents who answered “No”. 20 (4%) respondents didn’t provide an answer. A breakdown of the responses by neighborhood can be found in Table 8 below.

Table 8. Do you believe these boundary changes will improve police services in your neighborhood?

Neighborhood	No	Yes	(blank)	Grand Total
Ednor Gardens-Lakeside	48	4		52
Coldstream Homestead Montebello	16	1		17
Hampden	15	2		17
Heritage Crossing	17			17
Inner Harbor	12	3	1	16
Belair-Edison	13	2		15
Fells Point	11	1		12
Canton	5	6		11
Hamilton Hills	5	4		9
Mayfield	8	1		9
Waverly	7	2		9
Woodbourne-McCabe	9			9
Cross Country	7		1	8
Butcher's Hill	6	1		7
Cheswolde	7			7
Federal Hill	4	2	1	7
Radnor-Winston	6		1	7
Frankford	4	1	1	6
Homeland	4	2		6
Lake Walker	5		1	6
Mid-Govans	5	1		6



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT



Data Driven Strategies Division

Crime Analysis Unit

Second Public Survey Analysis

DDS-22-2153R

Riverside	3	3		6
Upper Fells Point	5	1		6
Waltherson	2	4		6
Washington Village/Pigtown	2	4		6
Bolton Hill	3	2		5
Guilford	4	1		5
Highlandtown	4	1		5
Lauraville	2	3		5
Reservoir Hill	2	2	1	5
Union Square	4		1	5
Belvedere	4			4
Brooklyn	3	1		4
Eastwood	3	1		4
Edmondson Village	3	1		4
Greenmount West	3	1		4
Hollins Market	4			4
Irvington	3	1		4
Kenilworth Park	4			4
Medfield	4			4
Mount Vernon	3	1		4
Mount Washington	4			4
Patterson Park	2	2		4
Winston-Govans	4			4
Wyman Park	4			4
Abell	2	1		3
Ashburton	3			3
Bellona-Gittings	3			3
Better Waverly	3			3
Brewers Hill	2	1		3
Cedonia	3			3
Charles Village	3			3
Downtown	2	1		3
Glen Oaks	1	2		3
Locust Point	3			3
McElderry Park	3			3
Montebello	1	2		3
Morrell Park		3		3
Oakenshawe	2	1		3
Richnor Springs	2	1		3
Roland Park	3			3
Westgate	1	2		3
Wilson Park	3			3
Barre Circle		1	1	2
Beechfield	1	1		2



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT



Data Driven Strategies Division

Crime Analysis Unit

Second Public Survey Analysis

DDS-22-2153R

Bridgeview/Greenlawn	1		1	2
Central Park Heights	2			2
Fallstaff	2			2
Franklinton		1	1	2
Greektown	2			2
Howard Park	1	1		2
Johnston Square	2			2
New Southwest/Mount Clare	1	1		2
Old Goucher	2			2
Park Circle	2			2
Patterson Place	1	1		2
Remington	1	1		2
Saint Agnes	2			2
West Arlington	1	1		2
Westfield	1	1		2
Woodmere	2			2
Wyndhurst	2			2
Yale Heights	2			2
Arlington	1			1
Armistead Gardens	1			1
Barclay		1		1
Bayview	1			1
Berea		1		1
Broadway East		1		1
Cameron Village	1			1
CARE			1	1
Carrollton Ridge	1			1
Charles North		1		1
Chinquapin Park	1			1
Cylburn		1		1
Garwyn Oaks	1			1
Glen	1			1
Glenham-Belhar	1			1
Graceland Park	1			1
Harlem Park	1			1
Idlewood			1	1
Johns Hopkins Homewood	1			1
Lake Evesham	1			1
Lakeland		1		1
Little Italy	1			1
Loch Raven	1			1
Loyola/Notre Dame	1			1
Madison Park	1			1
Mondawmin		1		1
Moravia-Walther		1		1



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Data Driven Strategies Division

Second Public Survey Analysis

Crime Analysis Unit

DDS-22-2153R



Mount Winans	1			1
North Harford Road	1			1
Oaklee		1		1
Original Northwood		1		1
Otterbein	1			1
Overlea		1		1
Parklane	1			1
Parkside	1			1
Parkview/Woodbrook		1		1
Penn North	1			1
Penrose/Fayette Street Outreach	1			1
Perkins Homes	1			1
Ridgely's Delight		1		1
Rognel Heights		1		1
Rosemont	1			1
Rosemont East			1	1
Saint Josephs	1			1
Stonewood-Pentwood-Winston	1			1
Upton	1			1
Wilhelm Park		1		1
Woodberry	1			1
York-Homeland	1			1
#N/A	46	7	4	57
(blank)	24	1	2	27
Grand Total	462	108	20	590

In response to Question 9 (Q9) “Do you feel that neighborhoods that have historically worked together remain together on the revised map?”, 143 (24%) respondents answered “Yes”; 218 (37%) respondents answered “Unsure”; 224 (38%) respondents answered “No”; 5 (1%) respondents didn’t provide an answer. A breakdown of the responses by neighborhood can be found in Table 9.

Table 9. Do you feel that neighborhoods that have historically worked together remain together on the revised map?

Neighborhood	No	Unsure	Yes	(blank)	Grand Total
Ednor Gardens-Lakeside	24	12	16		52
Inner Harbor	9	4	3		16
Heritage Crossing	8	4	5		17
Fells Point	7	3	2		12
Radnor-Winston	7				7
Belair-Edison	6	7	2		15
Cross Country	6		2		8
Waverly	5	2	2		9



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT



Data Driven Strategies Division

Crime Analysis Unit

Second Public Survey Analysis

DDS-22-2153R

Lake Walker	5		1		6
Coldstream Homestead Montebello	4	10	3		17
Woodbourne-McCabe	4	2	3		9
Mid-Govans	4	1	1		6
Bolton Hill	4		1		5
Mount Vernon	4				4
Hampden	3	12	1	1	17
Mayfield	3	5	1		9
Hollins Market	3	1			4
Winston-Govans	3		1		4
Bellona-Gittings	3				3
Richnor Springs	3				3
Butcher's Hill	2	3	2		7
Cheswolde	2	2	3		7
Federal Hill	2	3	2		7
Frankford	2	2	2		6
Homeland	2	3	1		6
Riverside	2	2	2		6
Guilford	2	2	1		5
Highlandtown	2	2	1		5
Reservoir Hill	2	2	1		5
Union Square	2	1	1	1	5
Brooklyn	2		2		4
Medfield	2	1	1		4
Wyman Park	2	2			4
Better Waverly	2	1			3
Downtown	2	1			3
McElderry Park	2	1			3
Johnston Square	2				2
Saint Agnes	2				2
Canton	1	7	3		11
Upper Fells Point	1	4	1		6
Waltherson	1	4	1		6
Washington Village/Pigtown	1	4	1		6
Lauraville	1	1	2	1	5
Belvedere	1	2	1		4
Edmondson Village	1	3			4
Kenilworth Park	1		3		4
Mount Washington	1	2	1		4
Patterson Park	1	2	1		4
Abell	1	1	1		3
Cedonia	1	1	1		3
Charles Village	1	2			3



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT



Data Driven Strategies Division

Crime Analysis Unit

Second Public Survey Analysis

DDS-22-2153R

Montebello	1	2		3
Wilson Park	1	1	1	3
Barre Circle	1	1		2
Beechfield	1	1		2
Bridgeview/Greenlawn	1		1	2
Central Park Heights	1		1	2
Greektown	1	1		2
Patterson Place	1	1		2
West Arlington	1		1	2
Westfield	1	1		2
Wyndhurst	1		1	2
Barclay	1			1
CARE	1			1
Carrollton Ridge	1			1
Glen	1			1
Lake Evesham	1			1
Loyola/Notre Dame	1			1
Oaklee	1			1
Original Northwood	1			1
Parklane	1			1
Rosemont	1			1
Saint Josephs	1			1
York-Homeland	1			1
Hamilton Hills		2	7	9
Eastwood		2	2	4
Greenmount West		3	1	4
Irvington		2	2	4
Ashburton		1	2	3
Brewers Hill		1	2	3
Glen Oaks		3		3
Locust Point		2	1	3
Morrell Park		1	2	3
Oakenshawe		2	1	3
Roland Park		3		3
Westgate		2	1	3
Fallstaff			2	2
Franklintown		1	1	2
Howard Park		2		2
New Southwest/Mount Clare		1	1	2
Old Goucher		2		2
Park Circle		2		2
Remington		2		2
Woodmere		1	1	2



BALTIMORE POLICE DEPARTMENT



Data Driven Strategies Division

Crime Analysis Unit

Second Public Survey Analysis

DDS-22-2153R

Yale Heights		2			2
Arlington			1		1
Armistead Gardens		1			1
Bayview			1		1
Berea			1		1
Broadway East		1			1
Cameron Village		1			1
Charles North		1			1
Chinquapin Park		1			1
Cylburn			1		1
Garwyn Oaks			1		1
Glenham-Belhar		1			1
Graceland Park			1		1
Harlem Park		1			1
Idlewood		1			1
Johns Hopkins Homewood			1		1
Lakeland		1			1
Little Italy		1			1
Loch Raven			1		1
Madison Park		1			1
Mondawmin		1			1
Moravia-Walther			1		1
Mount Winans		1			1
North Harford Road		1			1
Otterbein		1			1
Overlea			1		1
Parkside			1		1
Parkview/Woodbrook			1		1
Penn North		1			1
Penrose/Fayette Street Outreach		1			1
Perkins Homes		1			1
Ridgely's Delight		1			1
Rognel Heights			1		1
Rosemont East				1	1
Stonewood-Pentwood-Winston			1		1
Upton		1			1
Wilhelm Park		1			1
Woodberry		1			1
#N/A	24	18	14	1	57
(blank)	13	9	5		27
Grand Total	224	218	143	5	590



224 respondents, who answered “No” to Q9 on the survey: “Do you feel that neighborhoods that have historically worked together remain together on the revised map?”, were asked to specify which neighborhoods they do not think are remaining together (Q9a). An analysis of the responses shows:

- 1) At least 26% (58) of the responses expressed concerns on the Northern and Northeastern district divide on York Rd/Greenmount Ave.
- 2) About 20% of the responses are incomplete or sentiments towards policing, neighborhoods, and redistricting, for example, “leave as is”, “there is no unity in any community and no real rule of law in the neighborhoods”, “All of them the police does nothing in the community”, etc.
- 3) About 17% respondents didn’t not provide a response.
- 4) About 5% of the responses requested Ednor Gardens to remain in the Northeastern District.
- 5) About 4% of the responses requested Cheswolde, Cross Country, Mt. Washington, Fallstaff and Glen to remain together.
- 6) About 5 respondents who live in Harborview believe they should stay in the Southern, although they belong to Inner Harbor neighborhood.
- 7) For Fells Point and Upper Fells Point, about 5 respondents believe they should stay with Caton, Butcher’s Hill, and Patterson Park in the Southeastern District.
- 8) About 5 responses believe Belair-Edison should stay with other neighborhoods in the Northeastern District.
- 9) About 2 respondents mentioned that the Southwest Partnership Neighborhoods, a coalition of seven neighborhood associations of Barre Circle, Franklin Square, Hollins Roundhouse, Mount Claire, Pigtown, Poppleton, and Union square, being split. About 4 responses believe Hollins Market should stay with Barre Circle and Washington Village/Pigtown in the Southern District.
- 10) About 3 respondents believe that Mayfield should stay with Herring Run Park in the Northeastern District.
- 11) About 3 respondents believe that Heritage Crossing should stay in the Central District.
- 12) About 3 respondents believe that St. Agnes should stay in the Southern District with Violetville.
- 13) About 3 respondents believe that Bolton Hill should stay with Mt. Vernon in the Central District.
- 14) Although there are 17 respondents from CHUM and 4 answered “No” to Q9, only 1 response in Q9a. mentioned CHUM, but didn’t specify which neighborhoods CHUM works with.
- 15) Similarly, although there are 17 respondents from Hampden and 3 answered “No” to Q9, only 1 response in Q9a. mentioned Hampden, but didn’t specify which neighborhoods Hampden works with.

Question 10 (Q10) asked respondents to share their specific concerns about any particular District or newly drawn boundaries. An analysis of the responses shows the themes identified above for Q9a are carried over to Q10.

Among 349 responses received, at least 59 responses still expressed concerns on York Rd/Greenmount Ave divide. The concerns from Ednor Gardens, Cheswolde, Harborview, Fells Point/Upper Fells Point, Mayfield, Belair Edison, Southwest Partnership, Mayfield, Heritage Crossing, St. Agnes, and CHUM, along with York Rd/Greenmount Ave divide account for about 50% of the responses to Q10.



General concerns are also expressed on:

- 1) Inclusion of high crime areas in the new district will delay police response and reduce police presence in their neighborhood. For example, many responses from Northern District expressed concerns on the inclusion of Park Heights.
- 2) The property value will decrease and property/car insurance will increase because of being moved to certain district, for example, Eastern and Western.
- 3) Fostering the partnership with the new district.
- 4) Inadequate police staffing.
- 5) Unhappiness to move from one district to another district.
- 6) Suspicion that the proposed map has racial or socio-economic motivations.
- 7) Demanding safety and police presence and response.
- 8) Uncertainty if the proposal will work better.

Although Q10 focused on the concerns, some respondents favored the proposal and also expressed optimism towards the proposed map. One respondent wrote:

Despite my concerns about the analysis, I do see changes that make a lot of sense and believe that these will make a difference in the delivery of police services in certain neighborhoods. Perhaps most notable is the Central District change that doesn't split the downtown area and now includes Fells Point. I also think the change that puts the 'Tri-District' area of the city will help with police service in this very violent area. I am cautiously in support of the re-grouping in the Eastern District, since I am familiar with those neighborhoods and know that the neighborhoods in that area that were previously split between the Eastern and Southeastern Districts are close-knit in terms of community.